1. The author's tone is rational and unemotional, and it focuses on facts.

2. There is one source cited at the bottom of the page.

3. There is a date provided at the bottom of the page, informing readers that the source was last updated on 12 February 2009.
4. There is a link provided that brings users to what appears to be the author’s home page.

5. On the author’s home page, no biographical information is listed; however, the site does provide the author’s contact information.

1. How many checks does this source deserve? __10____ Is it reliable? __N__

2. Would you use this as a source for a research paper in our class? Explain why or why not below. Be sure to give specific examples. Use the checklist if you need help.

I would not use this as a source for a research paper in our class. Even though it is created by a university professor, (there is only one work cited/there is no mission statement/there is no review or editing process/there is no publication company or organization listed/the author’s name is not clearly listed, nor is there a link to her biographical information. Only her contact information and name are provided/ there is a date listed, but it shows that this site hasn’t been updated since 2009/etc.)